

Post-Supreme Court Checklist for Dealers: Complying with the New Expectations



Introduction

The Supreme Court's ruling in Hopcraft & Others v Close Brothers & FirstRand has brought welcome clarity – but also renewed scrutiny – for dealerships involved in motor finance.

The Court decisively ruled that **dealers are not fiduciaries** and dismissed bribery-based claims. However, the legal focus has now moved to **unfairness** under Section 140A of the Consumer Credit Act. This means that how you communicate with customers, disclose commission, and describe lender relationships is now more important than ever.

Dealers are not off the hook – they're now in the spotlight.

What Changed – And Why It Matters

Before the Judgment	After the Judgment
Risk centred around secret commissions and fiduciary obligations	These risks are largely closed off
Dealers feared being classed as agents acting solely for the customer	Dealers are now clearly not fiduciaries
Less attention on how commissions are disclosed or explained	Now, legal and regulatory scrutiny has shifted firmly to Section 140A of the Consumer Credit Act
Risk of claims was seen as highly speculative	Complaints are expected to rise rapidly, focused on Section 140A of the Consumer Credit Act

The legal bar has shifted — and the FCA has confirmed it is **actively considering a redress scheme** to address systemic risks.

At a Glance: Compliance Priorities for Dealers

Area	Key Focus	Red Flags to Avoid
Commission Disclosure	Clearly disclose if you receive commission, the amount (or range), and why it is paid.	★ Generic phrases like "a commission may be paid" without detail
Impartiality Claims	Be honest about lender panels – don't claim independence if you are tied to one lender.	➤ Presenting as a broker with a panel while only offering one lender's products
Customer Communication	Make all communication fair, clear and not misleading.	■ Rushing customers or burying key terms in lengthy documents
Document Sign-Off	Highlight commission-related terms; allow time to review before signing.	Overloading customers with paperwork and pressuring them to sign
Training & Oversight	Train staff on FCA CONC rules, commission practices and customer fairness.	✗ No record of staff training or commission disclosures
Regulatory Alignment	Be ready to explain your practices under the FCA Consumer Duty and Section 140A.	Assuming no fiduciary duty = no risk



Dealer Self-Check: Are You Compliant?

Ask yourself:

- Do we clearly disclose all commissions in our sales process?
- Are Suitability Documents and other forms accurate and tailored?
- Have staff been trained on FCA CONC and the implications of this ruling?
- Do we review and update lender panels or exclusivity arrangements?
- Are we capturing and evidencing what was explained to the customer?
- Have we stress-tested our approach to ensure it is clear, fair and not misleading?

If you're unsure or ticking "no" to any of these – it's time to take action.

What Not to Do

- Don't assume using pre-existing paperwork is safe several documents cited in the Supreme Court case were found to be **objectively misleading**, even if no one read them.
- Don't rely on customers to "ask for commission details" prominence and clarity matter most.
- Don't assume your processes are fine because "you've had no complaints yet" that's no defence to an unfair relationship claim.

Want to Know More?

Auxillias supports lenders and dealers alike with:

- Compliance reviews and audits
- Updated Suitability Documents and templates
- Training materials for sales teams
- Strategic remediation planning and implementation

To speak to our team or arrange a post-judgment compliance review, contact us:



Joanne Davis +44 (0)7741 240114 jo.davis@auxillias.com



Daksha Mistry +44 (0)7458 304068 daksha.mistry@auxillias.com



Dan Richards +44 (0)7828 765147 daniel.richards@auxillias.com



Paul Godsmark +44 (0)7812 524447 paul.godsmark@auxillias.com